



No S CI 05027 8:20962016 05027

Filed on: 28/06/2019 02:15 PM

BETWEEN:

MICHAEL KARL SCHMID

Plaintiff

-and-

ROGER JAMES SKIMMING and OTHERS (in accordance with the Schedule)

Defendants

REPLY AND DEFENCE TO AMENDED DEFENCE AND COUNTERCLAIM OF THE FOURTH DEFENDANT

(Filed pursuant to the Order of Judicial Registrar Clayton of 6 June 2019)

Date of document: 28 June 2019
Filed on behalf of: The Plaintiff

Prepared by: Tel: (03) 5560 2000

Maddens Lawyers
219 Koroit Street

Warrnambool VIC 3280

Solicitor's Code:102650

DX: 28001

Fax: (03) 5560 2099

Ref: B Pendergast: 161346

jmk@maddenslawyers.com.au

To the fourth defendant's (**Agrison**) amended defence and counterclaim to the third amended statement of claim dated 5 June 2019, the plaintiff says as follows:

REPLY

- 1. In answer to paragraph 46, he:
 - (a) admits the allegations in sub paragraph (a);
 - (b) admits the allegations in sub paragraph (b);
 - (c) does not admit the allegations in sub paragraph (c)(i);
 - (d) denies the allegations in sub paragraph (c)(ii);
 - (e) says that the claims made by the plaintiff and group members against Agrison pursuant to the Australian Consumer Law (Schedule 2 of the Competition and Consumer Act 2010)

(Cth))(**ACL**) are not apportionable claims within the meaning of s24AE and s24AF of the *Wrongs Act* 1958 (Vic);

Particulars

(i) Under s24AE, Wrongs Act, an apportionable claim means a claim to which Part IVAA applies. Section 24AF(1)(a) relevantly provides that Part IVAA applies to:

a claim for economic loss or damage to property in an action for damages (whether in tort, contract, under statute or otherwise) arising from a failure to take reasonable care.

- (ii) The plaintiff's claim against Agrison pursuant to the ACL does not arise from a failure to take reasonable care.
- (f) says further that if Agrison and the Third Party, Harley Industrial Pty Ltd, are liable under Division 1 of Part 3-5 of the ACL for the same loss or damage, they are jointly and severally liable.

Particulars

Section 144, ACL.

- (g) denies the allegations in sub paragraph (d).
- 2. In answer to paragraph 47, he:
 - (a) does not admit the allegations in sub paragraphs (a) and (b);
 - (b) denies the allegations in sub paragraph (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h) and (i);
 - (c) does not admit the allegations in sub paragraph (j); and
 - (d) denies the allegations in sub paragraphs (k), (l) & (m).

DEFENCE TO COUNTERCLAIM

3. The plaintiff denies Agrison is entitled to the relief sought and otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 48.

G D Dalton

A Fraatz

DATED 28 June 2019

Maddens Lawyers

Solicitors for the Plaintiff

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA AT BALLARAT COMMON LAW DIVISION MAJOR TORTS LIST

No S CI 05027 of 2016

BETWEEN:

MICHAEL KARL SCHMID

Plaintiff

-and-

ROGER JAMES SKIMMING and OTHERS (in accordance with the Schedule)

Defendants

SCHEDULE OF PARTIES

MICHAEL KARL SCHMID

Plaintiff

ROGER JAMES SKIMMING

First Defendant

MAUREEN LYNETTE JOHNS

Second Defendant

AUTO & GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED

(ACN 111 586 353)

Third Defendant

EL MINING SOLUTIONS PTY LTD (ACN 151 983 603)

Fourth Defendant

EL MINING SOLUTIONS PTY LTD (ACN 151 983 603)

Plaintiff by Counterclaim

MICHAEL KARL SCHMID

Defendant by Counterclaim

HARLEY INDUSTRIAL PTY LTD (ACN 115 230 905)

Third Party