N THE SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA AT MELBOURNE COMMERCIAL COURT GROUP PROCEEDINGS LIST



BETWEEN

DAIMIN NATHAN

First Plaintiff

TANIA NATHAN

Second Plaintiff

-and-

MACQUARIE LEASING PTY LTD (ACN 002 674 982)

Defendant

REPLY

Date of Document: 21 April 2022 Solicitors Code: 564
Filed on behalf of: The Plaintiffs DX: N/A
Prepared by: Maurice Blackburn Lawyers Telephone: (03) 9605 2700

21, 380 La Trobe Street Ref: 3052945

Melbourne Victoria 3000 Email: AWatson@mauriceblackburn.com.au

As to the Defence of the Defendant dated 14 April 2022, the Plaintiffs join issue with the whole of the Defence and say further:

- 1. as to paragraphs 8(a)(iv), 9(a), (b)(ii) and (c)(i), and 57(b), (c)(iii) and (d)(i):
 - (a) at all material times the Car Loan Process was part of a staged sales process whereby:
 - (i) the Plaintiffs and Group Members selected the automobile;
 - (ii) the Plaintiffs and Group Members and the Dealer negotiated the price of the automobile, any accessories or extras to be purchased, and the value of any trade-in;
 - (iii) the Dealer sold the automobile to the Plaintiffs and Group Members;
 - (iv) negotiation (if any) between the Dealer Business Manager and the Plaintiffs and Group Members on the terms of the Car Loan did not commence until after step (ii) or, alternatively, step (iii) above;

(v) Car Loan Offers were not submitted by the Dealer Business Manager to the Defendant until after the Plaintiffs and Group Members had

agreed to the terms of the Car Loan and had signed the Car Loan Offer;

and

(vi) from the Plaintiffs and Group Members' perspective, the Dealer

Business Manager was in complete control of the Car Loan negotiation

with the Defendant;

(b) the effect of the staged sales process pleaded in subparagraph (a) above was

that:

(i) the Dealers sold the automobile and the Car Loan to the Plaintiffs and

Group Members as a package;

(ii) once the staged sales process was underway, the Plaintiffs and Group

Members' ability to:

(A) negotiate the terms of the Car Loan including the Facility Rate;

(B) give effect to their individual preferences and circumstances;

and

(C) seek to finance the purchase of their motor vehicle by other

alternative finance methods of their choosing;

were limited or precluded; further, or alternatively

(iii) the Plaintiffs and Group Members were unable in a practical sense to

take out a Car Loan with a credit provider other than the one that was

proffered and recommended by the Dealer Business Manager.

Dated: 21 April 2022

J STOLJAR

DJFAHEY

Maurice Blackburn Lawyers

Maurice Blackburn

Solicitors for the Plaintiff

2