PROCESSED

No: S CI 2014 4423

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA AT MELBOURNE COMMON LAW DIVISION MAJOR TORTS LIST

BETWEEN

AS BY HER LITIGATION GUARDIAN MARIE THERESA ARTHUR Plaintiff

and

MINISTER FOR IMMIGRATION AND BORDER PROTECTION

First Defendant

and

COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

Second Defendant

MW 12.52

DEFENDANTS' DEFENCE TO FURTHER AMENDED STATEMENT OF CLAIM

Date of Document:

Filed on behalf of:

First and Second Defendants

Prepared by:

Australian Government Solicitor

Level 21

200 Queen Street, Melbourne Vic 3000

DX 50 Melbourne

9 February 2015

Solicitor's Code:

Tel No: 03 9242 1429

Fax No: 03

03 9242 1278

Ref No:

14153136

Ref: Peta Heffernan

The Defendants by way of their defence to the Plaintiff's Further Amended Statement of Claim dated 19 December 2014 say as follows:

- 1. The Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 1.
- 2. The Defendants admit AS was detained pursuant to section 189 of the *Migration Act* 1958 (Cth) (the **Migration Act**) between 26 July 2013 and 15 January 2015 but otherwise do not admit paragraph 2.

- 3. Save that AS was released from detention on 15 January 2015 the Defendants say in respect to the allegations in paragraph 3 that AS was detained at the following locations:
 - 26/07/2013 to 12/08/2013 Phosphate Hill Alternative Place of Detention (Christmas Island)
 - 12/08/2013 to 16/08/2013 Lilac/Aqua Alternative Place of Detention (Christmas Island)
 - 16/08/2013 to 18/10/2013 Darwin Airport Lodge Alternative Place of Detention
 - 18/10/2013 to 19/08/2014 Construction Camp Alternative Place of Detention (Christmas Island)
 - 19/08/2014 to 21/08/2014 Perth Immigration Residential Housing
 - 21/08/2014 to 23/08/2014 Wickham Point
 - 23/08/2014 to 15/01/2014 Bladin Alternative Place of Detention.
 - 4. The Defendants do not plead to the allegations contained in paragraph 4 as it does not contain any allegations against them.
 - 5. The Defendants do not plead to the allegations contained in paragraph 5 as it does not contain any allegations against them.
 - 6. The Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 6.
 - 7. There is no paragraph 7 in the Further Amended Statement of Claim.
 - 8. The Defendants do not plead to the allegations contained in paragraph 8 as it does not contain any allegations against them.

- 9. The Defendants refer to and repeat paragraph 2 herein and otherwise do not admit the allegations in paragraph 9.
- 10. The Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 10 insofar as they relate to AS.
- 11. Save that the Defendants admit the allegations insofar as they relate to AS, they do not admit the allegations in paragraph 11.
- 12. Save that the Defendants admit that AS was unable to leave detention of her own accord while in detention, the Defendants do not admit the allegations contained in paragraph 12.
- 13. The Defendants do not admit the allegations in paragraph 13 and say further, that it is and was the function and responsibility of International Health and Medical Services Pty Limited (ABN 40 073 811 131) (IHMS) to ensure that persons in detention have and had access to reasonable medical and health services.

Particulars

On 29 September 2006 the Second Defendant entered into a contract with IHMS for the provision of health care to people held in detention (the 2006 IHMS Contract). The 2006 IHMS Contract sets out the nature and content of IHMS's obligation to provide that health care and applied to persons detained on Christmas Island up to 29 November 2011.

On 14 January 2009 the Second Defendant entered into a new contract with IHMS for the provision of health care to people in detention (the **2009 IHMS Contract**). The 2009 IHMS Contract sets out the nature and content of IHMS's obligation to provide that health care and by deed of variation applied to persons detained on Christmas Island from 29 November 2011.

14. The Defendants do not admit the allegations in paragraph 14 and say further, that it is and was the responsibility of Serco Australia Pty Limited (ABN 44 003 677 352) (Serco) to ensure that persons held in detention have and had access to recreational opportunities.

Particulars

On 29 June 2009 the Second Defendant entered into a contract with Serco for the provision of detention services (the **Serco Contract**). The Serco Contract sets out the nature and content of Serco's obligations to provide the detention services.

- 15. Save that the Defendants say that the conditions of the detention of AS were subject to the management of the Second Defendant's contractors, Serco and IHMS, they do not admit the allegations in paragraph 15.
- 16. The Defendants do not admit the allegations contained in paragraph 16 and they refer to and repeat the matters set out at paragraphs 9-15 herein.
- 17. The Defendants do not admit the allegations contained in paragraph 17.
- 18. Save that the Defendants admit that the Second Defendant owed AS and people in detention a non-delegable duty of care to ensure that reasonable care is taken of them, the Defendants do not admit the allegations contained in paragraph 18.
- 19. Save that the Defendants admit the Second Defendant owed AS the duty set out at paragraph 18 herein, the Defendants do not admit the allegation contained in paragraph 19.
- 19A. Save that the Defendants admit that AS is a minor, the Defendants do not admit the allegations contained in paragraph 19A.
- 20. The Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 20 and say further that as a matter of law no duty of the kind described exists.

- 21. The Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 21 and refer to and repeat paragraph 20 herein.
- 22-24. There are no paragraphs 22 24 in the Further Amended Statement of Claim.
- 24A. The Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 24A and refer to and repeat paragraph 19A herein.
- 24B. The Defendants refer to and repeat paragraphs 13 and 14 herein and otherwise deny the allegations contained in paragraph 24B.
- 25. The Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 25 and say further that as a matter of law no duty of the kind described exists.
- 26. The Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 26.
- 27. The Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 27.
- 28. The Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 28 and say further that insofar as the Plaintiff alleges a breach of duty or the occasioning of injury based upon allegations:
 - (a) that AS or any person was detained;
 - (b) concerning the location of any such detention;
 - (c) concerning the making or omission to make any decision under the Migration Act;
 - the Defendants' conduct was lawful and they deny the capacity of any such conduct or circumstances to found or support a finding of any breach of duty so alleged.
- 29. The Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 29.
- 30. The Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 30.

- 31. The Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 31.
- 32. The Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 32.
- 33. There is no paragraph 33 in the Further Amended Statement of Claim.
- 34. The Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 34.
- 35. The Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 35.
- 36. The Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 36.
- 37. The Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 37.
- 38. The Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 38.
- 39-40. There are no paragraphs 39-40 in the Further Amended Statement of Claim.
- 41. The Defendants admit the allegation contained in paragraph 41.
- 42. The Defendants do not admit the allegations contained in paragraph 42.

Dated: 9 February 2015

R.J. STANLEY

GARRY LIVERMORE

Peta Heffernan, a solicitor employed by

Australian Government Solicitor

Solicitor for the Defendants